



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,168	02/12/2004	Binh T. Nguyen	IGT1P105/P000901-001	2167
79646	7590	04/12/2010	EXAMINER	
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT Attn: IGT P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250		HALL, ARTHUR O		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3714		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,168 Examiner ARTHUR O. HALL	NGUYEN ET AL. Art Unit 3714

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Glade.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 April 2010

Time: 5:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1, 23, 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Karmarkar (US7,285,048), Veradej (US2003/0092489), Steelberg et al. (US2003/0139190), Bradford et al. (US6,709,333)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Arthur O Hall/
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner and applicants discussed claim amendments that would recite that the event on the particular gaming machine is permitted to commence or continue by using said one or more servers, and that the personal information was to include at least two of visual images, geographic location of the player and voice samples.